

RESOLUTION #88

**RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF LINN VALLEY, LINN COUNTY, KANSAS,
ADOPTING
AND PROVIDING FOR STANDARDS FOR
PROCUREMENT, BIDDING, AND CONTRACT AWARDS**

WHEREAS, the City of Linn Valley, Linn County, Kansas, has applied for a loan to be made or insured by the United States of America acting through the USDA Rural Development (herein called the Government) for the construction of facilities to be owned and operated by the City and the Government's regulations require that the City adopt standards (a) relating to the conduct of its officers, employees, and agents in contracting and in expending loan funds and (b) establishing procurement and contracting procedures;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Linn Valley, Linn County, Kansas does hereby adopt the standards of conduct and procurement set forth in those regulations of the USDA Rural Development appearing in RUS Instruction 1780 Section 1780.70 thru 1780.72, provided however that in those instances where funds derived from loans made or insured by, or grants made by, the Government are not involved, prior consent or approval of the Government as provided in such regulations shall not be required.

THIS RESOLUTION adopted by the Governing Body of the City of Linn Valley, Linn County, Kansas this 9th day of September, 2019.

CERTIFICATE

I, Karen Siffring, City Clerk, of the City of Linn Valley, Linn County, Kansas hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Governing Body of the City of Linn Valley, Linn County, Kansas on the date stated above at a meeting duly held.

SEAL



Karen Siffring, City Clerk

§1780.70 Owner's procurement regulations.

Owner's procurement requirements must comply with the following standards:

(a) *Code of conduct.* Owners shall maintain a written code or standards of conduct which shall govern the performance of their officers, employees or agents engaged in the award and administration of contracts supported by Agency funds. No employee, officer or agent of the owner shall participate in the selection, award, or administration of a contract supported by Agency funds if a conflict of interest, real or apparent, would be involved. Examples of such conflicts would arise when: the employee, officer or agent; any member of their immediate family; their partner; or an organization which employs, or is about to employ, any of the above; has a financial or other interest in the firm selected for the award.

(1) The owner's officers, employees or agents shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favors or anything of monetary value from contractors, potential contractors, or parties to subagreements.

(2) To the extent permitted by State or local law or regulations, the owner's standards of conduct shall provide for penalties, sanctions, or other disciplinary actions for violations of such standards by the owner's officers, employees, agents, or by contractors or their agents.

(b) *Maximum open and free competition.* All procurement transactions, regardless of whether by sealed bids or by negotiation and without regard to dollar value, shall be conducted in a manner that provides maximum open and free competition. Procurement procedures shall not restrict or eliminate competition. Examples of what are considered to be restrictive of competition include, but are not limited to: placing unreasonable requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business; noncompetitive practices between firms; organizational conflicts of interest; and unnecessary experience and bonding requirements. In specifying materials, the owner and its consultant will consider all materials normally suitable for the project commensurate with sound engineering practices and project requirements. The Agency shall consider fully any recommendation made by the owner concerning the technical design and choice of materials to be used for a facility. If the Agency determines that a design or material, other than those that were recommended should be considered by including them in the procurement process as an acceptable design or material in the water or waste disposal facility, the Agency shall provide such owner with a comprehensive justification for such a determination. The justification will be documented in writing.

(c) *Owner's review.* Proposed procurement actions shall be reviewed by the owner's officials to avoid the purchase of unnecessary or duplicate items. Consideration should be given to consolidation or separation of procurement items to obtain a more economical purchase. Where appropriate, an analysis shall be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine which approach would be the most economical. To foster greater economy and efficiency, owners are encouraged to enter into State and local intergovernmental agreements for procurement or use of common goods and services.

(d) Solicitation of offers, whether by competitive sealed bid or competitive negotiation, shall:

(1) Incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product or service to be procured. When it is impractical or uneconomical to make a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements, a "brand name or equal" description may be used to define the performance or other salient requirements of a procurement. The specific feature of the name brands which must be met by the offeror shall be clearly stated; and

(2) Clearly specify all requirements which offerors must fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.

(e) Affirmative steps should be taken to assure that small, minority, and women businesses are utilized when possible as sources of supplies, equipment, construction and services.

(f) *Contract pricing.* Cost plus a percentage of cost method of contracting shall not be used.

USDA Rural Development
KS 1780 Guide 13
(Rev. 12/2014)

(g) *Unacceptable bidders.* The following will not be allowed to bid on, or negotiate for, a contract or subcontract related to the construction of the project:

(1) An engineer as an individual or firm who has prepared plans and specifications or who will be responsible for monitoring the construction;


(2) Any firm or corporation in which the owner's engineer is an officer, employee, or holds or controls a substantial interest;

(3) The governing body's officers, employees, or agents;


(4) Any member of the immediate family or partners in the entities referred to in paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2) or (g)(3) of this section; or

(5) An organization which employs, or is about to employ, any person in the entities referred to in paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2), (g)(3) or (g)(4) of this section.

(h) *Contract award.* Contracts shall be made only with responsible parties possessing the potential ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration shall include but not be limited to matters such as integrity, record of past performance, financial and technical resources, and accessibility to other necessary resources. Contracts shall not be made with parties who are suspended or debarred by any Agency of the United States Government.

 [Back to Top](#)

§1780.71 [Reserved]

 [Back to Top](#)

§1780.72 Procurement methods.

Procurement shall be made by one of the following methods: Small purchase procedures; competitive sealed bids (formal advertising); competitive negotiation; or noncompetitive negotiation. Competitive sealed bids (formal advertising) is the preferred procurement method for construction contracts.

(a) *Small purchase procedures.* Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods that are sound and appropriate for a procurement of services, supplies or other property, costing in the aggregate not more than \$100,000. If small purchase procedures are used for a procurement, written price or rate quotations shall be requested from at least three qualified sources.

(b) *Competitive sealed bids.* In competitive sealed bids (formal advertising), an invitation for sealed bids is publicly advertised and a firm-fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is lowest, price and other factors considered. When using this method the following shall apply:

(1) The invitation for bids shall be publicly advertised at a sufficient time prior to the date set for opening of bids. The invitation shall comply with the requirements in §1780.70(d). Bids shall be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources;

(2) All bids shall be opened publicly at the time and place stated in the invitation for bids;

(3) A firm-fixed-price contract award shall be made by written notice to that responsible bidder whose bid, conforming to the invitation for bids, is lowest. When specified in the bidding documents, factors such as discounts and transportation costs shall be considered in determining which bid is lowest; and

USDA Rural Development
KS 1780 Guide 13
(Rev. 12/2014)

(4) Any or all bids may be rejected by the owner when it is in its best interest.

(c) *Competitive negotiation.* In competitive negotiations, proposals are requested from a number of sources and the Request for Proposal is publicized. Negotiations are normally conducted with more than one of the sources submitting offers. Competitive negotiation may be used if conditions are not appropriate for the use of formal advertising and where discussions and bargaining with a view to reaching agreement on the technical quality, price, other terms of the proposed contract and specifications may be necessary. If competitive negotiation is used for a procurement, the following requirements shall apply:

(1) Proposals shall be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources to permit reasonable competition consistent with the nature and requirements of the Procurement. The Request for Proposal shall be publicized and reasonable requests by other sources to compete shall be honored to the maximum extent practicable;

(2) The Request for Proposal shall identify all significant evaluation factors and their relative importance;

(3) The owner shall provide mechanisms for technical evaluation of the proposals received, determination of responsible offerors for the purpose of written or oral discussions, and selection for contract award; and

(4) Award may be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal will be most advantageous to the owner. Unsuccessful offerors should be promptly notified.

(d) *Noncompetitive negotiation.* Noncompetitive negotiation is procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source, or after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate. Noncompetitive negotiation may be used when the award of a contract is not feasible under small purchase or competitive sealed bids. Circumstances under which a contract may be awarded by noncompetitive negotiations are limited to the following:

(1) The item is available only from a single source; or

(2) There exists a public exigency or emergency and the urgency for the requirement will not permit a delay incident to competitive solicitation; or

(3) After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate; or

(4) No acceptable bids have been received after formal advertising; or

(5) The procurement is for professional services; or

(6) The aggregate amount does not exceed \$100,000.

ORGANIZATIONAL OPINION

STATE OF KANSAS)
)
)
COUNTY OF LINN)

SS.

I, the undersigned, duly elected, qualified, and acting clerk of the City of Linn Valley in Linn County, Kansas, (hereafter called in the municipality), do hereby certify:


That the municipality is a duly organized City of the 3rd class of the State of Kansas, and that the following are the duly elected, qualified and acting officers of said municipality:

Name	Title
Cindy Smith	Mayor
Dennis Kidwell	Council Member
Dan Davies	Council Member
Jason Jenkins	Council Member
Lewis Donelson	Council Member
Robert Suppenbach	Council Member

The municipality has continuously functioned as such municipality for a period of at least twenty years.

Witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand officially and affixed the seal of said municipality this 9th day of September, 2019.

(SEAL)




Karen Siffring, City Clerk


ATTACHMENT TO SF 424 FOR CITY APPLICANTS (EXCEPT I.D. GRANTS)

- I. Name of City Linn Valley Tax I.D. No. 48-121-5650
- II. Assessed Valuation of City 9,330,883
- III. Total City Mill Levy (include county and all) 147.294
- IV. City Debt:
- A. Sewer Debt:
1. General Obligation Bonds – Unpaid Balance \$4,330,357.01;
Interest Rate 2.750%; Total Annual Payments \$109,182.00
 2. Revenue Bonds -- Unpaid Balance \$ _____;
Interest Rate _____%; Total Annual Payments \$ _____.
- B. Water Debt:
1. General Obligation Bonds -- Unpaid Balance \$ _____;
Interest Rate _____%; Total Annual Payments \$ _____.
 2. Revenue Bonds -- Unpaid Balance \$ _____;
Interest Rate _____%; Total Annual Payments \$ _____.
- C. Other (Temporary Notes, Warrants, Etc.)
1. Unpaid Balance \$10,875.00; Interest Rate 4.500%; Total \$11,612.76
Annual Payments \$5,806.38; Purpose Police Vehicle
 2. Unpaid Balance \$9333.34; Interest Rate 4.000%; Total \$10,424.59
Annual Payments \$5,212.29; Purpose Fire Truck
 3. Unpaid Balance \$279,641; Interest Rate 3.625%; Total \$372,888.91
Annual Payments \$15,545.75; Purpose Police Station Building
 4. Unpaid Balance \$45,350.00; Interest Rate 5.13%; Total \$50,041.52
Annual Payments \$12,510.38; Purpose Police Vehicles
- V. Number of Hook-ups (Water 121; Sewer 280).
- VI. Present Sewer Rate \$44.00/month
- VII. Present Water Rate \$22 per 1000 gal & \$10 additional 1000 gal (minimum).
- VIII. Applicant's attempt to obtain credit from other sources: No.

IX. I certify that the City of Linn Valley cannot finance the proposed project from its own resources or through commercial credit at reasonable rates and terms.



Mayor, Cindy Smith



Date